# TUPE Scoring Rubric for Schools with Students in Grades Nine Through Twelve Competitive Grants

Makes an Outstanding Case Score the item a 5 if:	Good 4	Makes an Adequate Case  Score the item a 3 if:	Weak 2	Makes a Very Weak Case or Fails to Make a Case Score the item a 1 if:	
Category I (1 to 5 rating X 1 = 5 possible points)  A. Overall Program Summary  Category II (1 to 5 rating X 2 = 10 possible points)					
Summary provides:  a comprehensive overview of the proposed program.  a very clear description of prior evaluation data, trends and results (including program services and student outcomes) demonstrating progress toward achievement of goals and objectives (Category II only).  program changes that are very clearly linked to evaluation data or research (Category II only).	n V 2 – 10 n	Summary provides:  an adequate overview of the proposed program.  an adequate description of prior evaluation data, trends and results (including program services and student outcomes) demonstrating progress toward achievement of goals and objectives (Category II only).  program changes that are linked to evaluation data or research (Category II only).		<ul> <li>Summary provides:         <ul> <li>a weak overview of the proposed program.</li> </ul> </li> <li>a vague description of prior evaluation data, trends and results (including program services and student outcomes) demonstrating progress toward achievement of goals and objectives (Category II only).</li> <li>program changes are not clearly linked to evaluation data or research (Category II only).</li> </ul>	
Assessment:  Provides a very comprehensive analysis of data from various sources including a student survey to demonstrate student's needs.  Fully describes the process and data used to identify high priority targets.	g X 2 = 10 p	Assessment:  provides an <u>adequately</u> comprehensive analysis of data from various sources including a student survey to demonstrate student's needs. <u>adequately</u> describes the process and data used to identify high priority targets.		Assessment:	
<ul> <li>provides strong justification for funding.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>provides adequate justification for</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>fails to provide justification for funding.</li> </ul>	

funding.

Makes an Outstanding Case  Score the item a 5 if:	Good 4	Makes an Adequate Case Score the item a 3 if:	Weak 2	Makes a Very Weak Case or Fails to Make a Case Score the item a 1 if:	
C. School and Community Involvement (1 to 5 rating X 2 = 10 possible points)					
<ul> <li>Involvement of school and community members in planning, design and future implementation of program at each site in application:         <ul> <li>is exemplary and will very clearly enhance program effectiveness.</li> </ul> </li> <li>demonstrates very clear evidence that each site plan was developed by site representatives and includes community involvement.</li> <li>clearly demonstrates site administrator commitment to supporting the program.</li> </ul>		Involvement of school and community members in planning, design and future implementation of program at each site in application:  • has adequate potential for enhancing program effectiveness.  • demonstrates adequate evidence that each site plan was developed by site representatives and includes community involvement.  • adequately demonstrates site administrator commitment to supporting the program.		Involvement of school and community members in planning, design and future implementation of program at each site in application:  • does not have acceptable potential for enhancing program effectiveness.  • demonstrates little evidence that each site plan was developed by site representative and includes community involvement.  • does not demonstrate site administrator commitment to supporting the program.	
D. Program Plan (1 to 5 rating X 5 = 25 possible points)					

High Priority Needs:  very clearly define extent and nature of student tobacco problems.  Objectives:  when measured, will very clearly provide valuable information about student outcome and program implementation and the extent to which tobacco use has been prevented or reduced.		High Priority Needs:  adequately define extent and nature of student tobacco problems.  Objectives:  when measured, are likely to provide useful information about student outcome and program implementation and the extent to which tobacco use has been prevented or reduced.		High Priority Needs:  weakly define extent and nature of student tobacco problems.  Objectives:  when measured, will provide little or no information about student outcome and program implementation and the extent to which tobacco use has been prevented or reduced.
Selected strategies:     are all very clearly described.     very clearly demonstrate the needs of each target group.     are fully linked to, and will very clearly contribute to the successful achievement of the measurable objectives.     ensure maximum exposure for targeted students.		Selected strategies     are described for most but not all     adequately demonstrate the needs of each target group.     are adequately linked to, and will likely contribute to the successful achievement of the measurable objectives.     ensure acceptable exposure for targeted students.		Selected strategies     are minimally described.     are weak or do not demonstrate the needs of each target group.     are not adequately linked to, and will not likely contribute to the successful achievement of the program measurable objectives.     does not ensure acceptable exposure for targeted students.
Makes an Outstanding Case Score the item a 5 if:	Good 4	Makes an Adequate Case Score the item a 3 if:	Weak 2	Makes a Very Weak Case or Fails to Make a Case Score the item a 1 if:

E. Program Monitoring and Evaluation Plan

(1 to 5 rating X = 25 possible points)

#### The process for:

- a plan to review the program's measurable objectives is <u>very clearly</u> described.
- collecting and analyzing prevalence data needed to measure the program outcome objectives is <u>comprehensively</u> described.
- monitoring the implementation of the program's strategies and activities (process) is <u>very detailed</u>.
- evaluation activities to determine the overall effectiveness of the program relative to its measurable objectives, to provide <u>valuable</u> information about program implementation and effectiveness.

#### The process for:

- a plan to review the program's measurable objectives is <u>adequately</u> described.
- collecting and analyzing prevalence data needed to measure the program outcome objectives is <u>adequately</u> described.
- monitoring the implementation of the program's strategies and activities (process) is <u>adequately</u> detailed.
- evaluation activities to determine the overall effectiveness of the program relative to its measurable objectives, to provide <u>adequate</u> information about program implementation and effectiveness.

### The process for:

- a plan to review the program's measurable objectives is <u>not clearly</u> described.
- collecting and analyzing prevalence data needed to measure the program outcome objectives is <u>minimally</u> described.
- monitoring the implementation of the program's strategies and activities (process) does not provide sufficient detail.
- evaluation activities to determine the overall effectiveness of the program relative to its measurable objectives, <u>do</u> <u>not</u> provide adequate information about program implementation and effectiveness.

## F. Project Staffing (1 to 5 rating X = 10 possible points)

All key staff at the school and district level:

- are <u>thoroughly</u> identified including submission of qualifications or resume for district and site coordinators.
- have <u>exemplary</u> skills and training to successfully carry out assigned responsibilities and are allocated sufficient time to carry out all tasks.

All key staff at the school and district level:

- are <u>adequately</u> identified including submission of qualifications or resume for district and site coordinators.
- have the <u>necessary</u> skills and training to successfully carry out assigned responsibilities and are allocated sufficient time to carry out all tasks.

All key staff at the school and district level:

- are not identified. Submission of qualifications or resume for district and site program coordinators was not provided.
- do not have the necessary skills and training to successfully carry out assigned responsibilities and/or are not allocated sufficient time to carry out all tasks.

Makes an Outstanding Case  Score the item a 5 if:	Good 4	Makes an Adequate Case Score the item a 3 if:	Weak 2	Makes a Very Weak Case or Fails to Make a Case Score the item a 1 if:	
G. Budget and Budget Justification (1 to 5 rating X 2 = 10 possible points)					
Budget and budget justification:     are entirely aligned with each other.  provide excellent detail.  fully supports the program.  demonstrates proportional breakdowns across budget categories that are completely consistent with program strategy priorities.  provides a program that is very cost effective and reasonable.  H. Overall Coherence and Merit of American description:	Applicati	<ul> <li>Budget and budget justification:         <ul> <li>are adequately aligned with each other.</li> </ul> </li> <li>provides adequate detail.</li> <li>adequately supports the program</li> <li>demonstrates proportional breakdowns across budget categories that are mostly consistent with program strategy priorities.</li> <li>provides a program that is adequately cost effective and reasonable.</li> <li>On (1 to 5 rating X 2 = 10 possible points)</li> </ul>	oints)	Budget and budget justification:  are weakly aligned with each other.  do not provide sufficient detail.  do not sufficiently support the program.  demonstrate proportional breakdowns across budget categories that are weak or not consistent with program strategy priorities.  do not provide a program that is cost effective and reasonable.	
The application:  • is <u>very</u> comprehensive and cohesive.  • <u>fully</u> incorporates the Principles of Effectiveness.		The application:  • is sufficiently comprehensive and cohesive.  • partially incorporates the Principles of Effectiveness.		The application:  • is <u>not</u> comprehensive and <u>lacks</u> cohesion.  • <u>fails</u> to incorporate the Principles of Effectiveness.	

- very clearly demonstrates how each part of the program is related to and supportive of the other parts of the program.
- is <u>specifically focused</u> on achieving the proposed measurable objectives.
- shows very <u>strong</u> evidence of commitment and capacity to implement the activities described.

- <u>adequately</u> demonstrates how each part of the program is related to and supportive of the other parts of the program.
- is generally focused on achieving the proposed measurable objectives.
- shows <u>adequate</u> evidence of commitment and capacity to implement the activities described.

- does <u>not</u> demonstrate how each part of the program is related to and supportive of the other parts of the program.
- does not clearly that described activities are focused on achieving the proposed measurable objectives.
- <u>lacks convincing</u> evidence of commitment and capacity to implement the activities described.